1	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CABN 44332) United States Attorney
3	BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division
4 5 6	JOHN N. GLANG (GUAMBN 94012) Assistant United States Attorney  150 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 900 San Jose, California 95113
7	Telephone: (408)-535-5084 Fax: (408)-535-5066 E-Mail: John.Glang@usdoj.gov
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12	SAN JOSE DIVISION
13	
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) No. CR 06-00620-JW
15	Plaintiff, ) ) STIPULATION AND ORDER
16	v. ) <u>RESCHEDULING STATUS HEARING</u> AND EXCLUDING TIME
17	JAIME CONTRERAS-CISNEROS
18	Defendant. )
19	
20	
21	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by the undersigned that the status hearing in this case,
22	currently scheduled for Monday, April 28, 2008 at 1:30 p.m. be vacated and rescheduled for
23	Monday, September 8, 2008 at 1:30 p.m. The parties further stipulate that the court may exclude
24	the period of time from April 28, 2008 through and including September 8, 2008 from the
25	computation of the period of time within which the trial must commence for the reasons set forth
26	in the proposed order below.
27	It is so stipulated.
28	

STIPULATION AND ORDER RESCHEDULING STATUS HEARING DATE AND EXCLUDING TIME CR 06-00620-JW  $\,$ 

## Case 5:06-cr-00620-JW Document 44 Filed 04/18/08 Page 2 of 2

1	Dated:04/16/08
2	Assistant United States Attorney
3	04/16/08
4	Dated: /s/ LARA S. VINNARD
5	Attorney for Jaime Contreras-Cisneros
6	
7	<u>ORDER</u>
8	Based upon the stipulation of the parties, it is hereby ordered that the status hearing in this
9	case, previously scheduled for Monday, April 28, 2008 at 1:30 p.m., be vacated and rescheduled
10	for Monday, September 8, 2008 at 1:30 p.m.
11	Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h), the court excludes the period of
12	time from April 28, 2008 through and including September 8, 2008 from the computation of the
13	period of time within which the trial must commence. The court FINDS that the ends of justice
14	served by the delay outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
15	The court bases this finding on the pendency of the defendant's interlocutory appeal in the
16	United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit (Case No. 07-10532), within the meaning of
17	18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(1)(E).
18	It is so ordered:
19	It is so ordered:  Dated: April 18, 2008
20	JAMES WARE United States District Judge
21	Since Suces District suage
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	